**Land & Water Conservation Fund**

 **Evaluation Score Sheet**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer’s Name (print): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer’s Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Total Score** (100 points maximum): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Possible****Points** | **Score** |
| 1. **Clarity of Scope.**

How clearly has the applicant described the intent of this project?*Allot up to 10 points.*  | 10 |  |
| 1. **Proximity and accessibility to the public.**

Is project adjacent to or connected by trail to other public facilities or areas? Is it within walking distance of expected users? Or conveniently reached by public transportation or other means appropriate for the site? Or is access to the site limited or inconvenient for the service population? *Allot up to 10 points.*  | 10 |  |
| 1. **Diversity of users.**

How many age groups and special population groups/users will be served? (Youngsters ages 1-5; Children 6-11; Youth 12-18; Young Adults 19-30; Adults 31-60; Elders 61+), and low income, minority, or special needs populations) *Allot up to 10 points if 3 or more groups will be served.* | 10 |  |
| 1. **Measure the demonstrated local and public support for the project.**
2. The project identified major statewide or regional need or significance, and was identified in a local planning document, demonstrating support from land managers. *Allot up to 10 points.*
3. Public participation. The applicant solicited wide public input and received favorable public support for the project. *Allot up to 10 points.*
4. Viable management/maintenance plans. The applicant described a reasonable budget, staff, and plan for operations and maintenance on this project. *Allot up to 5 points.*
5. The project is approaching or at shovel-ready status. *Allot up to 5 points.*
 | 101055 |  |
| **5. Project partnerships and champions.** Has the project attracted funding partner(s) committed to providing at least a portion of the applicant’s share in support of the project? *Allot up to 10 points*. | 10 |  |
|  | **Possible Points** | **Score** |
| **Note to Reviewer:** The following questions pertain directly to **Goals 1, 4, & 5**, from the *Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan* **(2023-2027).** LWCF grant applicants are to be evaluated on Goals 1, 4, & 5 only, as listed in Chapter 1, page 20 of SCORP and expanded upon in Chapter 4. **Goal 1. Support the Alaska Outdoor Recreation Way of Life.**How well does the project contribute to the Alaska outdoor recreation way-of-life, whether locally, regionally, or statewide? *Allot up to 10 points*. | 10 |  |
| **Goal 4. Empower & Enable Alaskans to Lead Active, Healthy Lives.**How well does the applicant describe how the project will help foster and promote a healthier outdoor lifestyle for Alaska’s population? *Allot up to 10 points.* | 10 |  |
| **Goal 5. Balance Outdoor Recreation Growth and Stewardship.**How well does the project represent a good balance between building more capacity for outdoor recreation while also ensuring principles of sustainability and stewardship are adhered to? *Allot up to 10 points.* | 10 |  |

**Additional Comments:** As a member of the Outdoor Recreational Trails Advisory Board, you are welcome
to share any additional comments or insights here.